





Analysis, Design and Implementation
Topic 3:
User Centred Design

Scope and Coverage

This topic will cover:



- User Centred Design
- The People Like Me Bias
- Mental Models
- Personas



Learning Outcomes



By the end of this topic students will be able to:

- Understand how to build common ground through mental models.
- Ground the use of paper prototyping in a design context.
- Develop and make use of personas to inform analysis and design.





Introduction

- At its core, user centred design is about putting the user at the centre of design.
- Software development remains, even now, a discipline in which users are often neglected.
 - Consulted at the beginning
 - Consulted at the end
 - Rarely consulted in-between
- User centred design focuses not on the software, but the user's relationship with the software and its development.





Normal Users, Normal Developers

- We are not 'normal', and as such we are not good benchmarks for the design of software.
- We need to be sure that what we develop conforms to the reality 'on the ground'
 - Sometimes known as 'ground truth'.
- This is difficult to do unless you get to know your users.
 - Getting to know your users can be challenging, depending on the user group to which you are pitching.
- There are logistical issues
- There are ethical issues





The Average User

- There is no such thing as an average user.
 - There is often no such thing as even a representative user.
- Every distinct user group has its own unique profile, which we can use in the abstract to make design decisions.
 - However, each user is an individual, and we need to be mindful of the fact that we cannot stereotype.
- We must recognise our own unique characteristics, and the ones that limit our ability to see from the perspectives of others.
 - This has a considerable impact on how software is developed.





People Like Me

- Software design and development is plagued by the people like me bias.
 - We simply find it easier to design for people like us.
- Software, even now, is disproportionately developed by young, technologically savvy males.
 - And this skews software design towards those sensibilities.
- To avoid falling into design traps and mistakes, we need to widen our perspectives with user exposure.
 - Ideally a lot of it, and throughout the whole lifetime of a project.
- You might be surprised what you find.





Assumption of Knowledge

- We work in a jargon rich environment.
 - And we have, as a general rule, internalised that jargon to an extent that we don't even see it.
- Even the basic act of communicating can be difficult when the vocabulary isn't shared.
 - And this is a common cultural barrier between us and the users we should be serving..
- We often assume a level of understanding of what's going on that isn't at all warranted.
 - Based on our own familiarity with the topic





Mental Models

- In 1943, a Scottish Psychologist by the name of Kenneth Craik put forward the proposition that people rely on mental models to build comprehension of the world around them.
 - In short, people create a simulation in their heads of how something will react to stimulus.
 - This model is then used to make predictions about what is going to happen.





Mental Models

- These models are generated via experience and familiarity.
 - We try something
 - It does what we expect, or it doesn't
 - We revise or strengthen our model accordingly
- It's not real life, but it's how we understand the world.
 - All models are wrong, but some models are **useful**.





Mental Models

- These models are constructed automatically and largely subconsciously.
 - But they lead to an expectation of what results an action is going to have.
- People may have different mental models constructed from the same set of information.
 - Filtered through their personal mind set.
 - Filtered through their own life experience.
- Almost all mental models are wrong or incomplete.
 - Knowing that they exist gives us a connection to our users.





Mental Models

- Developers make use of a mental model of how people are to navigate a particular interface.
 - Almost always subconsciously.
- Users construct a mental model of how an interface will work in response to their actions.
- Ideally the two will match up but usually, they don't.
- Our task is to align the models.
 - By adapting our mental models to what our users expect.





Building a Mental Model

- We see examples of this every day:
 - The commonalities between Microsoft Office products for example lead us to an expectation of how they are to perform.
 - Iconography allows us to make informed guesses as to functionality even when we lack direct knowledge.
- We need not have a complete understanding, but common ground between us and users will help guide our designs.





Building a Mental Model

- Models are constructed from a number of sources:
 - Historical information
 - Previous experience with Office products
 - Gestalt principles
 - The four main justification options are together on the interface, so they must do similar things.
 - Visual cues
 - That button looks like a printer, so it must have something to do with printing.





Skeuomorphism

- Skeuomorphism in user interface design is the principle of providing cues for interaction through the medium of obsolete ornamentation.
 - Such as when a digital document uses a curved paper corner to indicate you can move through it.
- Based on a physical metaphor.
 - But is more tangible – mirrors real world physicality, not conceptuality.
- Skeuomorphism can also be physical, mapping onto physical interaction.
 - Most often seen in games, but also sometimes in controls for media centre.
 - Swiping off to the side to move on to the next track, for example.





Personas

- A persona is a representation of a fictional user in your target demographic.
 - Not real, but believable.
- Often generated as a result of information gathering.
 - Questionnaires
 - Focus groups
 - Individual interviews
 - Paper prototyping
- Often a pattern matching exercise.





Personas

- Multiple personas may be generated to represent:
 - Diversity of target user group
 - Complexity of target user group
- It is often the case that no one persona can fully accommodate all important elements.
 - So we create a persona group.
- We can design use-cases around the expected needs of our personas.
 - As a short-hand for designing for individual users



Personas

- Personas usually include:
 - Name, age and gender
 - Demographics
 - Organisational Roles
 - Responsibilities
 - Motivations, needs, and fears
 - Likely environment
 - Accessibility issues



Personas

<https://wiki.fluidproject.org/display/fluid/Persona+Format>

Why Use Personas?

- **Personas are humanising.**
 - They put a face on otherwise abstract concerns.
- **Personas are focusing.**
 - They allow us to concentrate on dealing with a prospective user.
- **Personas highlight end goals.**
 - They tell us what the user wants to accomplish.
- **They allow consensus to emerge around design.**
 - We all know the user we're pitching our product for.
- **Can stand in for qualitative testing.**
 - With a little bit of role-playing.

NCC



Why Not Use Personas?


- **Requires research if a persona is not to be a stereotype.**
 - They have to emerge from interactions with real users.
 - They need to reflect real world concerns and issues.
 - The 'we made it up' persona helps no-one.
- **Risks 'self-referential design'.**
 - The assumptions of the persona designer can often skew the persona itself.
 - We develop to a perceived assumption of user interaction, rather than the real case.
- **Requires real team 'buy-in'.**
 - Everyone has to believe the authenticity of the persona.
- **People don't read large documents.**
 - And an effective persona may be data dense.
- **Nonetheless, a useful technique to have available.**

NCC

Conclusion

- User Centred Design focuses the person at the centre of the software,
 - And works to build insight into the user through careful consideration of motivations and mental models.
- Having built up an understanding of our users, we can design for them through effective use of personas.
 - These are a powerful, albeit dangerous, tool.
- Our paper prototypes though will help us define them.





Topic 3 – User Centred Design

Any Questions?
